

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/778,537	02/07/2001		John G. Noetzel	DP-302911 (DEP-0152)	5043
22851 7590 06/15/2005				EXAM	INER
DELPHI TE	CHNOLO	GIES, INC.	LEWIS, BEN		
M/C 480-410-202 PO BOX 5052				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TROY, MI	48007			1745	

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	:	Application No.	Applicant(s)								
	:	09/778,537	NOETZEL ET AL	:							
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit								
		Ben Lewis	1745	:							
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).											
Status											
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>2/17/2005</u> .									
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL .	b) This action is non-final.		:							
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Dispositi	on of Claims	•									
 4) Claim(s) 1-8,10,11,13-15,17-21,23-28 and 31-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 43-56 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8,10,11,13-15,17-21,23-28 and 31-42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 9,12,16 and 22 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 											
Applicati	on Papers										
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.											
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).											
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.											
11)	i ne oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the atta	iched Office Action of form PTC	J-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			:							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 											
Attachmen	t(s)			:							
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948) Pape	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date be of Informal Patent Application (PTO- er:	-152)							

Application/Control Number: 09/778,537

Art Unit: 1745

Detailed Action

- 1. The Applicant's request for reconsideration filed on February 17th, 2005 was received. .
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S.C. code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office Action (issued on November 15 2004).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The claim rejections under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Okada et al and Perry's Chemical Engineer's Handbook (Perry's) on claims 1-8, 10-11,13-15,17-21, 23-28 and 31-42 are maintained. The rejection is recited below for convenience.

Okada et al. disclose a method for controlling reformate delivered to fuel cell. The system includes an electric generating managing means (7), which is a system controller. The electric generating managing means detects the pressure in reservoir tank (12) by a pressure sensor (see column 9, line 36) and thus receives a "reformate pressure signal". The electric generating managing means controls or actuates the variable valve (10). (See column 10, lines 39-42.) As shown in Fig. 1, the variable valve is actuated in response to reformate pressure and target (desired) reformate pressure (26). (See column 9, lines 27-52.)

The disclosure of Okada does not explicitly disclose the controller receiving a "controllable valve position signal." As illustrated in Perry's, such a positioner includes

Application/Control Number: 09/778,537

Art Unit: 1745

a stem-position feedback network, so a valve position signal is provided to the electric generating managing means. (See Perry's, page 8-69.). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the stem-position feedback network of Perry's in the system of Okada et al because Perry's teach that the valve position, when combined with an appropriate actuator, forms a complete closed-loop valve-position control system. This system makes the valve stem conform to the input signal coming from the process controller in spite of force loads the actuator may encounter while moving the control valve. (See Perry's, Page 8-69) Therefore, a conventional valve positioner would enable the variable valve disclosed by Okada to send a controllable valve position signal to, and be controlled by the electric generating managing means.

Page 3

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 9,12,16 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 9,12,16 and 22 are allowable because the closest prior arts of record Okada and Perry's Handbook do not disclose or suggest controllable valve command is reduced if said controllable valve position error signal is greater than a first position error threshold and increased if said controllable valve position error signal is less than a second position error threshold.

Application/Control Number: 09/778,537 Page 4

Art Unit: 1745

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on February 17th, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's principle arguments are

- (a) Okada et al. does not disclose or teach. "receiving a controllable valve position signal from a controllable valve" Nor does Okada et al. disclose or teach "actuating a controllable valve in response to said controllable valve position signal."
- (b) There is no specific teaching in the totality of the art including the cited references as suggested by the Examiner that would motivate one skilled in the art to make the suggested combination of the robot fuel cell system of Okada with the particular teachings of Perry's on valve positioners to allegedly arrive at the Applicants invention.
- (c) The suggested combination of adding a controllable valve, control signals and feedback of Perry's to Okada as suggested to control the reformate (hydrogen) delivered to the fuel cell 5 would require a change in the principle of operation of Okada by abandoning either the use of the pressure regulator 11, 61 or the feedback control process in the electric generating managing means 7.

In response to Applicant's arguments, please consider the following comments.

- (a) Furthermore Perry's states that valve position, when combined with an appropriate actuator, forms a complete closed-loop valve-position control system.
- (b) and (c) The combination of the teachings of Perry's with that of Okada adds functionality to the system of Okada. See MPEP, Section 2145. The combination of a

Art Unit: 1745

controllable valve system with the system of Okada increases functionality of system of Okada in that a conventional valve positioner would enable the variable valve disclosed by Okada to send a controllable valve position signal to, and be controlled by the electric generating managing means.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ben Lewis whose telephone number is 571-272-6481. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/778,537

Art Unit: 1745

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ben Lewis

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1745

PRIMARY EXAMINER